UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
v. Alejandro Rodriguez-Hernandez	Case No. 1:13-cr-00171-JTN	
Defendant	Case No. 1.13-CI-0017 1-31N	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refetentian the defendant be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
Part I – Fir	ndings of Fact	
	n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of nat would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.	
an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in:	
	<u> </u>	
a felony committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	n convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.	
any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv	olves:	
a minor victim	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
a failure to register under 18 U.S.C		
	hile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
or local offense.		
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presum person or the community. I further find that defendant	nption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another thas not rebutted that presumption.	
Alternative	e Findings (A)	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	has committed an offense	
for which a maximum prison term of ten years of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et se under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
	blished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions	
will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance ar		
•	e Findings (B)	
√ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear 1. The defendant will not appear		
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	er the safety of another person or the community.	
Part II – Statement of t	he Reasons for Detention	
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	e detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing	
 Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to con Defendant is subject to an immigration detainer and would r Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to 	not be released in any case.	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	September 19, 2013	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	